	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/500,811	DOHERTY ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Rebecca L. Anderson	1626
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>allowed</u>	
(1) <u>Rebecca L. Anderson</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Raynard Yuro</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>17 October 2007</u>	Time: <u>11:34am</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a		
Claims discussed: 22 and 34		
Prior art documents discussed:  n/a		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  Applicants' representative agreed to an Examiner's amendment to cancel claims 22 and 34.		
Applicants representative agreed to an examiner's amendment to cancer dams 22 and 34.		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) \ (Applicant	Applicants Representative Si	gnature – ir appropriatė)